



Policy Framework Comment Matrix – Updated through 3.12.18

	Date/ Meeting	Comment / Question	Staff Response	Proposed Action on Policy Framework
	2.6.18 Working Group Meeting – Potential Parking Changes near Jennie Dean Park			
1		Potential metered parking could have an impact on families visiting AFAC	Currently Under Study by DES Transportation – implementation within 12 months.	This input will be considered as part of the ongoing study of parking near Jennie Dean Park. As part of the community outreach, potential impacts on area businesses and visitors can be discussed.
2		Business employee cars and customer cars are sometimes parked in the neighborhood	Currently Under Study by DES Transportation - implementation within 12 months.	
3		Don't want to take away overnight parking for small business owners	Currently Under Study by DES Transportation – implementation within 12 months.	
4		Big trucks have trouble parking on narrow streets	Currently Under Study by DES Transportation – implementation within 12 months.	
5		Why no parking allowed at night?	Currently Under Study by DES Transportation – implementation within 12 months.	
6		Need to maximized parking on 4MR Drive	Currently Under Study by DES Transportation – implementation within 12 months.	
7		Parallel parking should not be sited close to Walter Reed Drive intersection; need right turn lane	Currently Under Study by DES Transportation – implementation within 12 months	

	Date/ Meeting	Comment / Question	Staff Response	Proposed Action on Policy Framework
8		Immediate solutions, like changing signs from “No Parking 7-9pm” to “No Parking After 10pm” could take place. Need to be given a chance.	Currently Under Study by DES Transportation – implementation within 12 months.	
	2.6.18 Working Group Meeting – Transportation Analysis Comments			
9		Is Shirlington Bridge widening project a joint project with Alexandria?	This is an Arlington (only) Project, and the initial approach is to examine pedestrian and bike improvements to the west (Arlington) side of the bridge. Arlington staff will coordinate with Alexandria & VDOT, however.	No change.
10		Lots of recommendations for changing signage, but signage is too high for pedestrians and bikers	Signage placement is regulated by the Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD) and the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)	No change.
11		It is difficult to get people to back in to parking spaces	Back in parking is found to be safer than pull in parking - drivers will adjust to the new conditions.	No change.
12		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proposed solution at 4MR Drive/ Shirlington Road intersection to create a “preferred” crossing north of the intersection seems to be an example of conflict between cars and bikes forcing the bikes to go somewhere they do not want to go. 	The Consultant Team has identified 2 locations for ped/bike crossing of Shirlington Rd. However, the northernmost crossing has been identified as the location where a longer signal phase can be implemented in a way that does not disadvantage the other movements, so we highlight this improvement. Pedestrians and bikers may still choose to cross at other crossings, however.	The Policy Framework will be updated to show / discuss potential long-term improvements at the Shirlington Road / Four Mile Run drive intersection to address the

	Date/ Meeting	Comment / Question	Staff Response	Proposed Action on Policy Framework
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Has the idea of an underpass or overpass been explored? • Need more/better ped crossings on Arlington Mill Drive. Should/could they be signalized? • Need to create incentive for long-term parkers to use garages • Road diet is good. 	<p>The consultant team has suggested that, as a long-term improvement, an overpass/underpass solution should be studied.</p> <p>Three locations are identified along Arlington Mill Drive for pedestrian crossing improvements in the Policy Framework. These locations can only be signalized if they meet warrants/criteria. Additional study/analysis would be necessary to make this determination.</p>	automobile / bike / ped. conflicts.
13		Arlington Mill Drive is not in the study area.	Yes, but it is adjacent to the study area and there are often impacts and/or recommendations for adjacent areas that must be considered.	Street crossing and intersection improvement recommendations for Arlington Mill Drive will continue to be incorporated in the Policy Framework.
14		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Narrowing the Shirlington Road / 4MR Drive intersection with pedestrian nubs is difficult for trucks. • May never agree with rear-in parking 	Typically, these treatments lower the speed profile and make the street safer for all users. However, potential truck turning impacts or other safety concerns will be addressed during the future design phase.	No change.
15		Important to have accessible parking near Jennie Dean Park for parents with children.	Staff agrees. That has been factored into the recommendations of the Policy Framework.	No change.

	Date/ Meeting	Comment / Question	Staff Response	Proposed Action on Policy Framework
16		Back-in parking slows down traffic in busy times.	Generally, any form of parking operation can slow down through traffic.	No change.
17		Parking spaces closer to the park should be for shorter term parking and spaces further away should be for longer term parking, with enforcement.	Staff generally agrees – DES Transportation has an ongoing parking study for the area near Jennie Dean Park - See Comment 1-8 above.	No change.
	2.6.18 Working Group Meeting – Policy Framework Comments			
18		Page 41 and Page 43 describe County-owned property differently.	These will be made to convey the same message.	The Policy Framework will be updated to address this.
19		Page 41 / Figure 29- How does that further the goal of encouraging an arts district?	At the February 20 th County Board Work Session, the County Board directed staff to revise the recommendations for County-owned parcels within the 2-block area to indicate more flexibility regarding future use; with the idea that, as part of a future study of the Arts District idea, more specific conversation could occur regarding the future use of these parcels and how they may contribute to the potential Arts District.	The draft Policy Framework will be revised to depict the 3 County-owned parcels in a manner that conveys flexibility with regard to the future use of the parcels. The Framework will indicate that consideration of these sites should be incorporated into the follow-on

	Date/ Meeting	Comment / Question	Staff Response	Proposed Action on Policy Framework
				Arts District study process.
20		Allie Freed Park – clarify what the recommendations are for water access and promenade/walking path.	This information will be clarified in the revised draft Policy Framework.	The Policy Framework will be updated to address this.
21		Vision is disjointed; wishy-washy.	Staff has endeavored to create a document that presents draft concepts and policy recommendations that balance varying and sometimes conflicting viewpoints. The document is continuing to evolve, based on ongoing community review and staff refinement.	Under review.
22		Need to see assessment of County-owned buildings	Staff will undertake a comprehensive assessment of County-owned properties in preparation for a public process, to be addressed as a follow-on measure, to better consider establishing an Arts District and how the County-owned properties may contribute to the vision for the area. (Also see Comment #19)	No change.
23		Policy Framework does not follow recommendations of Arts District Committee of 4MRV Working Group	Yes, the recommendations found within the Arts District Committee report are more apt for implementation strategies. Therefore, staff recommends that, as a follow-on effort, the County work with the Arts Commission and the broader community to examine the Arts District idea, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Defining the boundary, character & uses of the area • Assessing the potential benefits of designating an Arts District, as well as fiscal impacts to the County 	No change.

	Date/ Meeting	Comment / Question	Staff Response	Proposed Action on Policy Framework
24		Nauck Civic Association voted unanimously to not support proposed configuration of Jennie Dean Park	<p>Jennie Dean Park concepts will be discussed further by a committee of the Working Group in March, with the goal of providing recommendations back to the Working group on March 21st. Staff is providing support to this effort.</p> <p>A goal of this committee is to consider the whether/how review of the staff preferred concept and a previous concept supported by some Working Group members can lead to an alternate concept that can be supported by a majority of the Working Group, asnd possibly staff and the community.</p> <p>The Committee will also examine safety issues related to the alignment of the athletic field, which were recently raised by a user group.</p>	Staff will evaluate whether / how the Policy Framework should be updated after the Jennie Dean Park Committee's work is reviewed by the Working Group.
25		Having field at park entrance will discourage people to from walking to the park	This matter is subject to review by the Jennie Dean Park Committee.	
26		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Arts District idea does not work without real estate. Old Signature site (3806 4MR Drive) should be easy, since they are ready to invest (in refurbishing the building). • Maintain performing arts at 3700 4MR Drive – County manager recently emphasized this as not having black box theater elsewhere 	See Comment #19, 22 and 23.	

	Date/ Meeting	Comment / Question	Staff Response	Proposed Action on Policy Framework
27		Jennie Dean Park concept doesn't have a balance between casual and planned active sports yet, Policy Framework should acknowledge debate for use of County-owned properties	The staff recommended concept and another previously considered concept are now being discussed and analyzed further by the County Board created, Jennie Dean Park Committee which will report back to the Working Group on March 21, 2018 with its findings.	Under review.
28		This discussion is based on preferences by staff (expressed in the Policy Framework).	Yes. The drafting of planning documents, concepts and illustrations for community review is consistent with the County Board Charge for the 4MRV process.	No change.
29		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • County acquisition of properties de-values business that is there. Several business owners have voiced opposition, but don't see their views here. Change in position not in agreement with the County Board. • County Board did not want to tear down buildings • Move (Park Study Boundary) to exclude businesses – including businesses in the park study area affects leases. 	This issue was raised at the February 20 th County Board Work Session. At that meeting, the County Board directed staff to move the Park Planning Area boundary to exclude the 2-block area.	Graphics will be updated in the revised draft Policy Framework to reflect this change.
30		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acquisition of property is needed for parks, and this is a good job on Jennie Dean Park. 	Comments are acknowledged and will be analyzed further as part of the Park Master Plan.	Under review.

	Date/ Meeting	Comment / Question	Staff Response	Proposed Action on Policy Framework
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Minimize fencing to be used by neighbors • Joint use facility on bus parking 		
31		3806 4MR Drive is not “old” Signature; it is still current and active, so do not want it destroyed.	See Comment #19.	
32		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff didn’t get balance of active and passive recreation. • Disconnect between calling out an arts area and tearing down buildings • Policy Framework is disjointed, but can be better with editing 	See Comment # 24	
33		Maybe Working Group should have had this document first; this seems more staff driven than the community wants	<p>The draft Policy Framework reflects, in part, the community input that has been received this far; which has been varied in some cases. The Framework also incorporates and applies best practices and adopted County policies.</p> <p>Staff is continuing to refine the document, based on ongoing input.</p>	Under review.
	2.6.18 Working Group Meeting - Public Comment			
34		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff vs Arts District Committee report 	See Comment #26 and 29.	

	Date/ Meeting	Comment / Question	Staff Response	Proposed Action on Policy Framework
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If you want an arts district, need nucleus of arts – need to keep buildings • Park study boundary affects property value by millions • Building at 3800 4MR Dr (privately owned) serves greater community; has international tenants <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Property should be shaded blue and be in Subarea B – asking for help from staff; request made repeatedly ○ Current (private) ownership could compliment arts district 		
35		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supports current design of Jennie Dean Park; good as it can get from current constraints. • A lot of community members that use this area like to walk – the park is accessible to pedestrians 	Comment acknowledged.	
36		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Baseball field blocks view of the park. Noises are loud – configuration needs to be reworked. 	See Comment # 24. The arrangement of park amenities may change based on on-going discussions.	Under review.

	Date/ Meeting	Comment / Question	Staff Response	Proposed Action on Policy Framework
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Arts District – lack of performing arts space – this is a jewel. 		
37		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Transportation planning disappointing Don't see anywhere in Arlington where street trees lived Rethink how sidewalk on north side of 4MR Dr fits together Arts District needs more creative thinking – is there a way to keep them (buildings?) and acquire open space? Not innovative; need more ideas 51 pages needs to be streamlined to 20 pages 	<p>Staff and the consultant team developed a range of transportation recommendations that are consistent with adopted County policy, such as the master Transportation Plan.</p> <p>It has been staff's experience that street trees typically live or they are replaced (often under warranty)</p> <p>Sidewalk (north side) on 4Mile Run Drive is recommended, and could be accomplished over time with the cooperation of NOVAParks, but south sidewalk side is the priority</p>	No change.
	Shirlington Civic Association Written Comments 2.6.18			
38		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This Policy Framework does not yet address the need to reconfigure aging, drooping wooden distribution poles and transformers p. 49 - Please add a page 49A with Map and photos of electric power 	This can be something the County coordinates with the utility companies at a future date, when permanent sidewalk improvements are planned and implemented.	This idea will be incorporated into the Policy Framework and Area Plan.

	Date/ Meeting	Comment / Question	Staff Response	Proposed Action on Policy Framework
		distribution poles and transformers and location of transmission towers and how this can be improved. We will provide you with recent photos.		
39		If the County proposes to end the lease for this building (per Figure 31, p. 43), even 15 - 20 years from now, and take the building down to increase park space, that may make long-term sense. A pre-condition, however, should be that the County makes a concrete commitment to ensure that Signature has access to an affordable, equivalent and acceptable space for its production center in the 4MRV project area; since Signature already plans to raise the funds to renovate the current production center, it would be better to sort this out sooner rather than later.	It had been staff's intent that existing uses within County-owned site be accommodated prior to demolition and creation of open space, however a final determination about County-owned sites will be made based on a follow-on community process to discuss the Arts District idea.	See Comment #19.
40		Overall, this Parking Map (Figure 33, p. 45) is confusing because it omits existing parking, especially existing parallel parking. This Map omits the surface parking lot near WETA production studio that you plan to retain in Jennie Dean Park (shown on p. 25).	Staff will examine this map to determine how it can more accurately illustrate existing and possible future parking resources.	This map will be updated.

	Date/ Meeting	Comment / Question	Staff Response	Proposed Action on Policy Framework
41		it is unrealistic to suggest the Village of Shirlington private parking garage agreements approved by CPHD can be renegotiated to accommodate 4MRV patrons	Staff disagrees. There is ample, unrestricted parking in Shirlington Village at off-peak times that could be utilized to offset parking demand in the study area.	No change.
42		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We suggest you add a page 6A about the key complementary parks system and stream access concept- the Four Mile Run Restoration Master Plan of 2006. This provides important context for the 4MRV parks plan, which is the "missing link" between the stream sections to the east below Shirlington Road to the Potomac and above Columbia Pike to the west. There are many relevant principles and accomplishments of the Alexandria/Arlington joint effort over the past 20 years that are manifested in this Policy Framework • Suggest that you reference the 20-year investment in Four Mile Run Stream Restoration efforts here, especially since the stream photos seem to be from that project. 	Comment acknowledged and staff will be studying this further as part of the overall Park Master Plan.	Under review

	Date/ Meeting	Comment / Question	Staff Response	Proposed Action on Policy Framework
43		Can a legend be added to Figure 5, Study Area Circulation Map? What are the purple roads meant to show?	Yes.	The revised Policy Framework will include a legend for Figures 5 (and 23).
44		Do you need to note that the M-1 section on Laporte Property is now County-owned and subject to zoning reclassification to park? Confusing.	No determination has been made as to when or whether this property, or other County-owned parcels, will be rezoned. This may be addressed in the Park Master Plan, however.	No change.
45		Insert "theatrical production uses" into second bullet under 4MRV Today section - please be explicit.	OK.	This idea will be incorporated into the Policy Framework.
46		Please add a reference to food-related businesses in the Valley, ranging from AFAC to catering to the Shirlington restaurants. Let's remember that culinary training and/or food preparation are desired activities of the local economy.	OK	This idea will be incorporated into the Policy Framework.
47		We applaud the reference to Personal Safety issues in the park, as per community requests. Shifting the diamond fields closer to Nelson will enhance their safety, especially at night when adult softball is played.	See Comment # 24.	
48		Please be sure to show an additional, formal entrance to Jennie Dean Park from Nelson Street next to the end of the pedestrian bridge. This entrance from the Village of Shirlington is		

	Date/ Meeting	Comment / Question	Staff Response	Proposed Action on Policy Framework
		already much used and needs to be recognized. This is described in the Shirlington community's park concept paper of September		
49		The text in the gray box below the figure is confusing. This text says that Phase I will include the existing athletic courts, but the drawing for Phase I on p. 24 does NOT include the athletic courts. Phase 2 is when the athletic courts will be restored. Please be clearer.	This issue has also been identified by staff and will be made clearer within an updated version of the draft, Policy Framework document.	Under review.
50		Good summary of dog park needs.		
51		Before this is final, please substitute an up-to-date photo showing La Porte site with grass. Date the photograph.	The aerial photography that staff and the consultant team has used to this point was flown in 2015, which was the most recent mapping data available. However, the County's GIS staff has recently verified the 2017 aerial photography and made it available for use.	Staff will examine what updates are needed in the Policy Framework document.
52		First mention of "potential trail underpasses" at South Walter Reed Drive. Discuss.	Staff proposes to evaluate, over time, whether removal of at-grade trail crossings of arterial street can be eliminated by either an underpass (Walter Reed Drive / Shirlington Road) or a bridge (Shirlington Road) can be done in a feasible manner. The goals would be to eliminate auto/bike conflicts and to a create continuous, un-interrupted trail experience.	The Policy Framework will be updated to explain this further and identify both Walter Reed Drive and Shirlington Road as locations to be studied.

	Date/ Meeting	Comment / Question	Staff Response	Proposed Action on Policy Framework
53		<p>Designation of Major Gateways - Given that 200,000+ visits are made to the Shirlington Dog Park each year and that more people will now come to Jennie Dean Park, we would suggest designating the pedestrian bridge on the Shirlington side as the Minor (Major?) Gateway that it is. At the southern edge of Shirlington Road bridge please add another Major Gateway, which should show how to get to Nauck Town Square and to the Village of Shirlington, among other places.</p>	<p>This has been acknowledged and will be incorporated as a gateway within both Shirlington Park and Jennie Dean Park.</p>	<p>Under review.</p>
54		<p>p. 39 We welcome 2.1.c with regard to technical assistance to existing businesses about stormwater compliance, etc.</p>	<p>As part of ongoing efforts, staff will work with adjacent businesses to identify if any voluntary actions can be taken to reduce stormwater runoff impacts to the park. In addition, County staff) can investigate opportunities to collect/redirect runoff in the park itself to reduce impacts.</p> <p>However, it should be noted that this is not a compliance issue, because there is no requirement that existing development meet a particular standard. If/when adjacent properties redevelop, compliance requirements will include protecting adjacent properties from runoff impacts as well as reducing stormwater pollution.</p>	<p>Staff is continuing to review this point and there may be additional guidance added to the Policy Framework.</p>

	Date/ Meeting	Comment / Question	Staff Response	Proposed Action on Policy Framework
	Joint Meeting of Parks and Recreation & Sports Commissions 2.27.18			
		<i>Only minor clarifying questions were asked, but substantive comments will be forwarded in a formal letter to the County Board.</i>		
	Arts Commission 2.28.18			
55		Retain the County-owned buildings; arts event attendees typically gather inside buildings, so outdoor gathering spaces less needed	See Comment #24.	
56		What about the Shirlington Employment and Education Center (SEEC) operations?	<p>The Policy Framework has not addressed this, but SEEC's operations will be altered as a result of the redevelopment of Jennie Dean Park. SEEC's office space had been located in a building located within Jennie Dean Park, east of Nelson Street. The office space was relocated to the west side of Nelson Street within the last year; however, the worker pickup operations are still located in a parking area adjacent to Shirlington Road.</p> <p>Once construction activity begins, the pickup operations will need to be relocated. A new location for this activity has not been identified at this time.</p>	No change.
		<i>It was indicated that more substantive comments will be forwarded in a formal letter to the County Board.</i>		
	Transportation Commission 3.1.18			

	Date/ Meeting	Comment / Question	Staff Response	Proposed Action on Policy Framework
57		Back-in angled parking not as safe as parallel parking; why not just direct parkers to the garages in Shirlington Village?	Studies have shown that back-end parking is safer and more efficient. Currently Arlington has several examples of back-in angled parking and has found no safety issues.	No change.
58		The Zoning Ordinance requirements for parking for the park amenities (67 spaces for diamond field bleachers, basketball and tennis courts) seems excessive.	Staff recommends addressing the Zoning Ordinance requirements for Jennie Dean Park by using a combination of surface parking (located within an existing 20-space parking lot west of Shirlington Road) and on-street parking spaces (proposed to be angled on Four Mile Run Drive and existing parallel on South Nelson Street). A zoning study would be required to determine whether the current parking requirements for park facilities are excessive. This effort is not currently identified in the Planning Division's Work Program.	No change.
59		Has there been a study of the number of parked cars within a day that ball games are played at JDP?	There have been overall parking studies for the entire park study area (Kittleston & Associates) which provided at what times peak facility use occurred and most affected parking. While the demand in each individual parking location peaks at different times, the cumulative peak-demand occurred on the weekend at 12:30 PM with 111 of the available 136 spaces occupied, and on the weekday at 6:30 PM with 110 of the available 136 spaces occupied.	Under Review (with Park Master Plan and Area Plan)
	Nauck Civic Association 3.5.18			
60		Could proposed changes to Subarea D push out current owners/businesses along Shirlington Road?	The businesses located along Shirlington Road are within the Nauck Revitalization District, which has land use recommendations that were adopted by the	No change.

	Date/ Meeting	Comment / Question	Staff Response	Proposed Action on Policy Framework
			<p>County Board in 2004. So, if substantial change (redevelopment) were to occur in this area, that change would be in keeping with the longstanding vision for the area.</p> <p>The staff recommended land use recommendations in the Area Plan area (Subarea D) would augment and support the recommendations of the Nauck Plan. In order for change to occur, current property owners would have to make the decision to redevelop or sell their property.</p> <p>If that were to occur, existing tenants could be impacted. Countywide, the County encourages property owners to provide assistance to their commercial tenants to ease their relocation, if that is a viable option.</p>	
61		Need transportation analysis before proposing new redevelopment scenarios. There is limited access in and out of this area and the 24 th Road & Glebe Road intersection is bad now.	<p>A full transportation analysis was completed for the study area as part of this planning process. Based on the findings of the consultant team, and staff's review, recommendations have been revised to address the potential negative transportation impacts of previous options that were under consideration. Information about the transportation analysis can be found on the project webpage (4MRV.com)</p> <p>Staff feels that the current recommendations balance traffic concerns with potential support for the Nauck Plan.</p>	No change.

	Date/ Meeting	Comment / Question	Staff Response	Proposed Action on Policy Framework
62		Will there be incentives or technical assistance for business/property owners? A lot of small property owners do not know a lot about development and what their options are.	<p>Arlington Economic Development (AED) has provided technical assistance to businesses within the study area over time and will continue to do so, based on their individual needs. AED has also interacted with the newly formed Business Association and has hosted social events in the area to highlight area businesses, and to market AED's services.</p> <p>As part of the next phase of the planning process - developing the Area Plan 9(which includes and Implementation Chapter and Matrix) - staff will examine how /what incentive may possibly be brought to bear on the study area.</p>	No change.
63		The proposed parking lot located within Jennie Dean Park has access issues. Due to traffic congestion on Shirlington Road, there are often times when left turns are impossible.	This matter can be examined within the context of other potential changes to the Jennie Dean Park Concept under review with the 4MRV Working Group Committee. See Comment #24.	Under review.
64		Every process is staff driven, not community driven.	<p>In this process, as in many others, the County Board adopted a Charge that clearly defines roles for staff and community participants. In this process, staff is charged with developing concepts and recommendations for community review.</p> <p>Ultimately, the County Board makes decisions, based on County policy, best practices, and the broad array of input that is received from the community.</p>	No change.
65		How does the County weigh the views of the Nauck community in relation to the views of the broader community?	See Comment #24 and 64.	Under review.

	Date/ Meeting	Comment / Question	Staff Response	Proposed Action on Policy Framework
		The Nauck community strongly opposes the staff recommendation for Jennie Dean Park and supports Option 3 because there is greater separation between the ballfields and the Nauck neighborhood, among other things. Furthermore, this option provides for more contiguous, casual use open space south of Four Mile Run Drive.		
	Long Range Planning Committee of the Planning Commission 3.7.18			
66		Add maps of with existing information (zoning, heights, land use)	Staff will look into this.	Under review.
67		Will the zoning need to change to allow arts uses in Subarea B? Will the GLUP need to change?	To this point, staff has work to establish the vision for the study area. The potential need for zoning and land use amendments to implement the vision will be examined as part of the development of the Area Plan Implementation chapter.	No change.
		The business owners have formed a Business Association. Does the Policy Framework need to address this?	The Policy Framework acknowledges the existence of the Business Association (p.39). Arlington Economic development staff has been working with the association for some time. During this process, planning staff also met with business owners to discuss their specific concerns about the process.	No change.
68		What is the criteria used to determine heights within the range of 100'-120'	The Policy Framework expresses a range of height (9100-120') on the current ART bus storage lot. This	Under review.

	Date/ Meeting	Comment / Question	Staff Response	Proposed Action on Policy Framework
		in Subarea C on the current ART bus site?	<p>reflects an ongoing discussion of additional height on the site to facilitate joint-use or co-location on the site, over the long term.</p> <p>The height recommendation may be refined with more discussion with the working group.</p>	
69		Can there be more “High-intensity Activated crossWalk” (HAWK) signals – particularly on Walter Reed Drive south of the stream?	DES will study this recommendation as a follow-on implementation task – there are Federal warrants & guidelines for this signal application	No change.
70		Interested in more pedestrian / bike crossings of Four Mile Run stream	Currently ped/bike connections are planned/funded for the existing Walter Reed & Shirlington Bridges – staff will study additional capacity.	No. change.
71		What are the incentives for stormwater and other improvements to private properties? Could TDRs be a tool?	<p>This is more of an implementation question, which is a subject that will be addressed in the Area Plan in some detail.</p> <p>But to provide some information at this point, note that the Department of Environmental Services operates the StormwaterWise Landscapes Program, a grant program that homeowners, HOAs and small business/property owners can apply to obtain a stormwater assessment by staff and reimbursement of eligible costs.</p> <p>More information about the programs can be found here: https://environment.arlingtonva.us/stormwaterwise/</p> <p>Currently, the Transfer of Development Rights (TDR) Policy lists four goals:</p>	No change.

	Date/ Meeting	Comment / Question	Staff Response	Proposed Action on Policy Framework
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Affordable housing • Historic preservation • Open space • Community facilities <p>Only projects/sites meeting or providing one of these goals are eligible for density transfer. TDR may not be an effective tool for the privately owned properties in this area if the “goals” are to address stormwater runoff or incentivize arts uses. However, this could be re-evaluated as part of a larger community review of the TDR program.</p>	
72		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There should be outreach to businesses / community to ensure that there are no negative impacts from proposed parking changes. • Parking changes should not negatively impact people relying on social services. • Commercial vehicles may belong to local businesses or area residents. 	<p>DES staff anticipates reviewing their proposed short-term parking changes with area businesses and civic associations prior to making a final decision and implementing the changes.</p> <p>The short-term parking changes, which are intended to maximize turnover and utilization may result in the relocation of commercial vehicle parking to some other area(s) of Four Mile Run Drive, but not elimination.</p>	No change.
73		What does staff mean by “short-term and mid-term” stormwater management solutions? (specifically related to Shirlington Dog Park)	Short and mid-term stormwater management solutions may include (but is not limited to): the removal of invasive plant species and the addition of new, native trees and landscaping may assist in mitigating stormwater management at the Dog Park site. The Shirlington Dog Park Committee Report from 2017 provided some recommendations that DPR/DES staff will need to consider moving forward	No change.

	Date/ Meeting	Comment / Question	Staff Response	Proposed Action on Policy Framework
			with the Park Master Plan document. In addition, County staff may investigate possibilities to collect/redirect runoff from adjacent properties.	
	Shirlington Civic Association 3.12.18			
74		Why are we (civic association) being asked to come to a meeting to comment on an undefined park concept?	At its 2/20/18 work session, the County Board expressed support for creating a Jennie Dean Park Committee of the 4MRV Working Group, composed of five (5) working group members (one (1) chair, one (1) member from Shirlington CA, one (1) member from Nauck CA, one (1) at-large member, one (1) member from the Sports Commission). This group will try to reconcile the issues identified by community members with the staff preferred option and one that was previously reviewed by the working group/community (referred to as "Option 3"). Staff provided a history of both plans and the process up to this date and wanted to solicit feedback from the civic association on any of these topics. This was the intent of the update to the civic association.	Under review.
75		What's wrong with the staff option for Jennie Dean Park, Phase II?	Some community members have expressed concerns with the staff preferred option, and they include: the diamond fields being too close to Four Mile Run Drive (they should be moved further south closer to Four Mile Run), moving the proposed playground closer to Four Mile Run Drive and providing for more contiguous, casual use open space adjacent to Four Mile Run Drive. These and other issues are now being discussed by the JDP Committee.	Under review.

	Date/ Meeting	Comment / Question	Staff Response	Proposed Action on Policy Framework
76		How do we enter the park? Entrances?	There will be various entrances in to the park. Under the staff preferred option, there would be opportunities to provide for gateway/wayfinding features off South Nelson Street bridge, at the corner of South Nelson Street and Four Mile Run Drive, along Four Mile Run Drive (next to the Weenie Beenie parcel), and at the surface parking lot next to Shirlington Road. There will be other pedestrian connections throughout the park as well.	Under review.
77		Why only one pedestrian bridge? Can't we have a pedestrian bridge (between Randolph and Quincy)?	Under the staff preferred option, the existing pedestrian bridge on South Nelson Street is maintained. There is no concept envisioned for a secondary pedestrian bridge at this location.	No change.
78		How does a family with stroller get from Shirlington to the playground?	The options would be to enter the park from South Nelson Street bridge and use one of two proposed pedestrian paths that would take the family directly to the playground. Another option would be to cross Shirlington Road bridge and use the pedestrian pathway located off the gateway feature of the park and enter the playground.	Under review.
79		Is the Nelson street sidewalk part of Phase I and will it be improved?	Yes, all pedestrian/streetscape improvements to South Nelson Street has been incorporated as part of Phase I construction.	No change.
		Will the lights will be shining in our direction and what's the spillage?	Many of the questions associated with field light infrastructure and light spillage will be conducted as part of the design development phase of the park. The draft Design Guidelines for the Park Master Plan states that the goal of any field lighting infrastructure proposed will be able to minimize glare while reducing light trespass. Field light shielding will be proposed which will direct light where it is needed	Under review.

	Date/ Meeting	Comment / Question	Staff Response	Proposed Action on Policy Framework
			instead of the night sky or surrounding neighborhoods. There will also be the option of a push-button lighting system where the lights may be turned off in case the fields are not being used. A photometric analysis of the lighting infrastructure will likely be submitted as part of the design development/plan review phase.	
80		Is the park design consistent with the stream restoration project below Shirlington Road?	The park design will be consistent with and follow the various County standards and design documents that have been adopted to date. The Park Master Plan and associated Design Guidelines may provide updates to best practices in stormwater management, stream restoration, and other associated environmental considerations. Staff will explore these further.	Under review.
81		Is there visual and some physical access to the stream?	There will be opportunities for riparian access paths and overlooks within Shirlington Park and Jennie Dean Park which will provide for visual access to the stream. Staff is not proposing a designated area for physical contact and will be exploring best practices and other health/safety considerations for this.	Under review.
82		Have the park rangers and Arlington Police reviewed the plans for safety?	During the planning process, staff has consulted with the Park Area Manager, the County Urban Forester, and other associated staff regarding safety and environmental considerations for this park. Per the draft, Design Guidelines, the parks will incorporate Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) principals which builds on universal design principles by making the park easier to get to and use, and therefore increasing the number of eyes by increasing the number of users. This will be	Under review.

	Date/ Meeting	Comment / Question	Staff Response	Proposed Action on Policy Framework
			reviewed further by any necessary County staff/agencies during design development.	
83		What's the status of the day labor program? Where is it located in the future?	This is an on-going, County-wide discussion and no decisions have been made to date regarding the future location of this program.	Under review.
84		When will there be funding for these great improvements to Shirlington Park?	Shirlington Park improvements are envisioned to occur during a future phase of construction which is to be determined and has not yet been identified within a County Board adopted Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) budget.	Under review.